

Generics Written in the Java™ Programming Language with Parameterized Types in Java Platform 5.0

Angelika Langer

Trainer/Consultant

www.AngelikaLanger.com

TS-5627

java.sun.com/javaone/sf

2005 JavaOne™ Conference | Session TS-5627



Goal

Give an overview of generics for the Java™ programming language

What are generics?

- Language features of generics

What are generics used for?

- Idioms for use of generics

Speaker's Qualifications

- Author of Java Generics FAQ online
 - www.AngelikaLanger.com/GenericsFAQ/JavaGenericsFAQ.html
- Independent trainer/consultant/author
 - Teaching C++ and Java for 10+ years

Agenda

Language Features

Usage

Non-Generic Collections

- No homogeneous collections
 - Lots of casts required
- No compile-time checks
 - Late error detection at runtime

```
LinkedList list = new LinkedList();
list.add(new Integer(0));
Integer i = (Integer) list.get(0);
String s = (String) list.get(0);
```

Fine at Compile-time,
but Fails at Runtime

Casts Required

Generic Collections

- Collections are homogeneous
 - No casts necessary
- Early compile-time checks
 - Based on static type information

```
LinkedList<Integer> list = new LinkedList<Integer>();
list.add(new Integer(0));
Integer i = list.get(0);
String s = list.get(0);
```

Compile-time Error

Benefits of Generic Types

- Increased expressive power
- Improved type safety
- Explicit type parameters and implicit type casts

Definition of Generic Types

```
interface Collection<A> {  
    public void add (A x);  
    public Iterator<A> iterator ();  
}
```

```
class LinkedList<A> implements Collection<A> {  
    protected class Node {  
        A elt;  
        Node next = null;  
        Node (A elt) { this.elt = elt; }  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

- *Type variable* = “placeholder” for an unknown type
 - Similar to a type, but not really a type
 - Several restrictions
 - Not allowed in new expressions, cannot be derived from, no class literal

Type Parameter Bounds

```
public interface Comparable<T> { public int compareTo(T arg); }
```

```
public class TreeMap<K extends Comparable<K>, V> {
    private static class Entry<K, V> { ... }
    ...
    private Entry<K, V> getEntry(K key) {
        ...
        while (p != null) {
            int cmp = k.compareTo(p.key);
        }
        ...
    }
    ...
}
```

- *Bounds* = supertype of a type variable
 - Purpose: make available non-static methods of a type variable
 - Limitations: gives no access to constructors or static methods

Using Generic Types

- Can use generic types with or without type argument specification
 - With concrete type arguments
 - Concrete instantiation
 - Without type arguments
 - Raw type
 - With wildcard arguments
 - Wildcard instantiation

Concrete Instantiation

- Type argument is a concrete type

```
void printDirectoryNames(Collection<File> files) {
    for (File f : files)
        if (f.isDirectory())
            System.out.println(f);
}
```

- More expressive type information
 - Enables compile-time type checks

```
List<File> targetDir = new LinkedList<File>();
... fill list with File objects ...
printDirectoryNames(targetDir);
```

Raw Type

- No type argument specified

```
void printDirectoryNames(Collection files) {
    for (Iterator it = files.iterator(); it.hasNext(); ) {
        File f = (File) it.next();
        if (f.isDirectory())
            System.out.println(f);
    }
}
```

- Permitted for compatibility reasons
 - Permits mix of non-generic (legacy) code with generic code

```
List<File> targetDir = new LinkedList<File>();
... fill list with File objects ...
printDirectoryNames(targetDir);
```

Wildcard Instantiation

- Type argument is a wildcard

```
void printElements(Collection<?> c) {
    for (Object e : c)
        System.out.println(e);
}
```

- A wildcard stands for a family of types
 - Bounded and unbounded wildcards supported

```
Collection<File> targetDir = new LinkedList<File>();
... fill list with File objects ...
printElements(targetDir);
```

Wildcards

- A wildcard denotes a representative from a family of types
 - Unbounded wildcard **?**
 - All types
 - Lower-bound wildcard **? extends supertype**
 - All types that are subtypes of supertype
 - Upper-bound wildcard **? super subtype**
 - All types that are supertypes of subtype

Example of a Bounded Wildcard

- Consider a method
 - That draws objects from a class hierarchy of shapes

Naïve approach

```
void drawAll (List<Shape> shapes) {
    for (Shape s : shapes)
        s.draw();
}
```

- Method cannot draw a list of circles
 - Because list<circle> is not a subtype of list<shape>

```
List<Circle> circles = ... ;
drawAll (circles);
```

Incompatible Argument Type

Trying to Fix It...

- Try a wildcard instantiation

Wildcarded version

```
void drawAll (List<?> shapes) {
    for (Shape s : shapes)
        s.draw();
}
```

Error: ? Does Not Have a Draw Method

- Compiler needs more information about “unknown” type

Solution: Upper Bound Wildcard

- "? Extends shape" stands for "any subtype of shape"
 - Shape is the *upper bound* of the bounded wildcard
- Collection<? Extends shape> stands for "collection of any kind of shapes"
 - Is the supertype of *all* collections that contain shapes (or subtypes thereof)

```
void drawAll (List<? extends Shape> shapes) {
    for (Shape s : shapes)
        s.draw();
}
```

```
List<Circle> circles = ... ;
drawAll (circles);
```

← fine

Generic Methods

- Defining a generic method

```
class Utilities {
    public static <A extends Comparable<A>> A max(Iterable<A> c) {
        A result = null;
        for (A a : c) {
            if (result == null || result.compareTo(a) < 0)
                result = a;
        }
    }
}
```

Type Inference

- Invoking a generic method
 - No special invocation syntax
 - Type arguments are inferred from actual arguments (→ type inference)

```
public static void main (String[ ] args) {  
    LinkedList<Byte> byteList = new LinkedList<Byte>();  
    ...  
    Byte y = Utilities.max(byteList);  
}
```

Compilation Model

- **Code specialization**
 - New representation for every instantiation of a generic type or method
 - e.g., Different code for a list of strings and list of integers
 - Downside: code bloat
- **Code sharing**
 - Only one representation of a generic type or method
 - All concrete instantiations are mapped to this representation
 - Implicit type checks and type conversions where needed
 - Downside: no primitive types

Type Erasure—Class Definition

- Generic type

```
class LinkedList<A> implements Collection<A> {
    protected class Node {
        A elt; Node next = null;
        Node (A elt) { this.elt = elt; }
    }
    public void add (A elt) { ... }
    ...
}
```

- After type erasure

```
class LinkedList implements Collection {
    protected class Node {
        Object elt; Node next = null;
        Node (Object elt) { this.elt = elt; }
    }
    public void add (Object elt) { ... }
    ...
}
```

Type Erasure—Usage Context

- Generic type

```
final class Test {
    public static void main (String[ ] args) {
        LinkedList<String> ys = new LinkedList<String>();
        ys.add("zero"); ys.add("one");
        String y = ys.iterator().next();
    }
}
```

- After type erasure

```
final class Test {
    public static void main (String[ ] args) {
        LinkedList ys = new LinkedList();
        ys.add("zero"); ys.add("one");
        String y = (String)ys.iterator().next();
    }
}
```

Additional Cast

Agenda

Language Features

Usage

Categories of Usage

- Using predefined generic types/methods
 - e.g., using collections such as `List<Date>`
 - Requires relatively little learning effort
 - Provide concrete type arguments to generic types
 - Rely on type inference when calling generic methods
- Designing and defining generic types/methods
 - e.g., implementing a generic `LinkedList<T>` class
 - Requires sound understanding of generics

Using a Predefined Generic Type

```
public static Collection<String>
removeDirectory(Collection<File> absoluteFiles,
                String directoryToBeRemovedFromPath) {
    Collection<String> relativeFileNames = new HashSet<String>();
    Iterator<File> iter = absoluteFiles.iterator();
    while (iter.hasNext()) {
        relativeFileNames.add(
            FileUtility.relativePath(iter.next().getPath(),
            directoryToBeRemovedFromPath));
    }
    return relativeFileNames;
}
```

- Demonstrates a key benefit of generics
 - Source code is more readable and precise than without generics

Same Code Without Generics

```
public static Collection
removeDirectory(Collection absoluteFiles,
                String directoryToBeRemovedFromPath) {
    Collection relativeFileNames = new HashSet();
    Iterator iter = absoluteFiles.iterator();
    while (iter.hasNext()) {
        relativeFileNames.add(
            FileUtility.relativePath(((File)iter.next()).getPath(),
            directoryToBeRemovedFromPath));
    }
    return relativeFileNames;
}
```

- It's difficult to tell what the collections contain

Designing and Defining a Generic Type

- Case study
 - Implement a class that holds two elements of different types
 - Constructors
 - Getters and setter
 - Equality and hashing
 - Comparability
 - Cloning
 - Value semantics

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {  
    private X first;  
    private Y second;  
    ...  
}
```

Getters and Setters

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {  
    ...  
    public X getFirst() { return first; }  
    public Y getSecond() { return second; }  
    public void setFirst(X x) { first = x; }  
    public void setSecond(Y y) { second = y; }  
}
```

- Add setters that take the new value from another pair

Constructors—First Naïve Approach

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {
    ...
    public Pair(X x, Y y) {
        first = x; second = y;
    }
    public Pair() {
        first = null; second = null;
    }
    public Pair(Pair other) {
        if (other == null) {
            first = null;
            second = null;
        } else {
            first = other.first;
            second = other.second;
        }
    }
}
```

Y
Object

- Does not compile

error: incompatible types

Constructors—Tentative Fix

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {
    ...
    public Pair(X x, Y y) {
        first = x; second = y;
    }
    public Pair() {
        first = null; second = null;
    }
    public Pair(Pair other) {
        if (other == null) {
            first = null;
            second = null;
        } else {
            first = (X)other.first;
            second = (Y)other.second;
        }
    }
}
```

Y
Y

- Insert cast

warning: unchecked cast

Ignoring Unchecked Warnings

- What happens if we ignore the warnings?

```
Pair<String, Integer> p1
    = new Pair<String, Integer>("Bobby", 10);
Pair<String, Date> p2
    = new Pair<String, Date>(p1);
...
Date bobbysBirthDay = p2.getSecond();
```

← `ClassCastException`

- Error detection at runtime
 - Long after debatable assignment in constructor

Constructors—What's the Goal?

- A constructor that takes the same type of pair?
- Allow creation of one pair from another pair of a different type, but with compatible members?

Same Type Argument

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {
    ...
    public Pair(Pair<X, Y> other) {
        if (other == null) {
            first = null; second = null;
        }
        else {
            first = other.first;
            second = other.second;
        }
    }
}
```

- Accepts same type pair
- Rejects alien pair

```
Pair<String, Integer> p1
    = new Pair<String, Integer>("Bobby", 10);
Pair<String, Date> p2
    = new Pair<String, Date>(p1);
...
Date bobbysBirthday = p2.getSecond();
```

error: no matching ctor

Downside

- Implementation also rejects useful cases

```
Pair<String, Integer> p1
    = new Pair<String, Integer>("planet earth", 10000);
Pair<String, Number> p2
    = new Pair<String, Number>(p1);
long thePlanetsAge = p2.getSecond().longValue();
```

error: no matching ctor

Compatible Type Argument

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {
    ...
    public <A extends X, B extends Y>
    Pair(Pair<A, B> other) {
        if (other == null) {
            first = null; second = null;
        }
        else {
            first = other.first;
            second = other.second;
        }
    }
}
```

- Accepts compatible pair

```
Pair<String, Integer> p1
    = new Pair<String, Integer>("planet earth", 10000);
Pair<String, Number> p2
    = new Pair<String, Number>(p1);
long thePlanetsAge = p2.getSecond().longValue();
```

now fine

Equivalent Implementation

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {
    ...
    public Pair(Pair<? extends X, ? extends Y> other) {
        if (other == null) {
            first = null; second = null;
        }
        else {
            first = other.first;
            second = other.second;
        }
    }
}
```

- Permits the same invocations

```
Pair<String, Integer> p1
    = new Pair<String, Integer>("planet earth", 10000);
Pair<String, Number> p2
    = new Pair<String, Number>(p1);
long thePlanetsAge = p2.getSecond().longValue();
```

fine

Equivalent Implementation

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {
    ...
    public Pair(Pair<? extends X, ? extends Y> other) { ... }
}
```

```
final class Pair<X, Y> {
    ...
    public <A extends X, B extends Y> Pair(Pair<A, B> other) { ... }
}
```

- Permit same invocations
- Difference lies in access to wildcard argument
 - Not all methods of wildcard pair can be called
 - Doesn't matter here, since we do not invoke any methods

Wildcard Access Rules

- Disallowed
 - Invoking methods that **take** arguments of “unknown” type
 - We do not know which type of elements a `List<?>` Contains
 - Hence we cannot add anything, except the typeless null
- Allowed:
 - Invoking methods that **return** objects of “unknown” type
 - After all it's an object

```
Pair<?, ?> p = new Pair<Date, Date>();
p.setFirst("xmas");
p.setFirst(null);
Object o = p.getFirst();
```

Error

Value Semantics

- Alternative semantics
 - Hold copies of constructor arguments, instead of just references

```
final class ValuePair<X, Y> {
    public ValuePair(X x, Y y) {
        first = (x==null)?null:cloneObject(x);
        second = (y==null)?null:cloneObject(y);
    }

    private static <T> T cloneObject(T t){
        try { return (T)t.getClass().getMethod("clone", null).invoke(t, null); }
        catch (Exception e) { return null; }
    }
}
```

Cloning

- Unchecked cast cannot be avoided

```
final class ValuePair<X, Y> {
    private static <T> T cloneObject(T t){
        ...
        return (T) t.getClass().getMethod("clone", null).invoke(t, null);
    }
}
```

warning: unchecked cast

- Use `@SuppressWarnings` annotation

```
final class ValuePair<X, Y> {
    @SuppressWarnings("unchecked")
    private static <T> T cloneObject(T t){
        ...
        return (T) t.getClass().getMethod("clone", null).invoke(t, null);
    }
}
```

Default Constructed Value Pair

- Default construction of a value pair is a problem
 - Generic construction not permitted

```
final class ValuePair<X, Y> {
    ...
    public ValuePair() {
        first = new X();
        second = new Y();
    }
    ...
}
```

error:
type variable not permitted
in new expression

- Generic construction only possible via reflection
 - Typically by a factory method

Generic Object Creation

- Static helper method that produces an object
 - If information about requested type of object is provided

```
final class ValuePair<X, Y> {
    public ValuePair() {
        first = makeObject(X.class);
        second = makeObject(Y.class);
    }
    private static <T> T makeObject(Class<T> clazz){
        try { return clazz.getConstructor(new Class[0])
            .newInstance(new Object[0]);
        } catch (Exception e) { return null; }
    }
}
```

Class `Class<T>`

- Class `Class` is generic
 - Type parameter is the type that the `Class` object represents
 - e.g., `String.class` is of type `Class<String>`
- Used here to ensure consistency
 - To create a `T` object a `Class<T>` object must be provided

```
private static <T> T makeObject(Class<T> clazz) { ... }
```

- Nonsense will not compile

```
Date date = makeObject(String.class); ← error
```

No Class Literals for Type Variables

- Default construction is still a problem
 - We cannot call the helper method
 - Type variables have no class literal

```
final class ValuePair<X, Y> {
  public ValuePair() {
    first = makeObject(X.class);
    second = makeObject(Y.class); ← error: class literal does not exist
  }
  private static <T> T makeObject(Class<T> clazz) { ... }
}
```

Provide Class Objects

- Special purpose constructor
 - Takes the required class objects as arguments

```
final class ValuePair<X, Y> {
    public ValuePair(Class<X> xType, Class<Y> yType) {
        first = makeObject(xType);
        second = makeObject(yType);
    }
    private static <T> T makeObject(Class<T> clazz) { ... }
}
```

- The constructor would be used like this
 - Note the highly redundant repetition of type information

```
ValuePair<String, Date> pair
    = new ValuePair<String, Date>(String.class, Date.class);
```

Factory Method for Value Pair

- Factory method = static method that produces an object
 - Use instead of a constructor

```
final class ValuePair<X, Y> {
    public static <U, V>
    ValuePair<U, V> makeDefaultPair(Class<U> uType, Class<V> vType) {
        return new ValuePair<U, V>(makeObject(uType), makeObject(vType));
    }
    private static <T> T makeObject(Class<T> clazz) { ... }
}
```

- Factory method is more convenient to use
 - Type information is automatically inferred

```
ValuePair<String, Date> pair
    = ValuePair.makeDefaultPair(String.class, Date.class);
```

Comparison

```
final class Pair<X, Y> implements Comparable<Pair<X, Y>> {
...
public int compareTo(Pair<X, Y> other) {
... first.compareTo(other.first) ...
... second.compareTo(other.second) ...
}
```

error: cannot find compareTo method

- Use bounds to require that members be comparable

```
final class Pair<X extends Comparable<X>
                Y extends Comparable<Y>
implements Comparable<Pair<X, Y>> {
...
public int compareTo(Pair<X, Y> other) {
... first.compareTo(other.first) ...
... second.compareTo(other.second) ...
}
```

now fine

Comparison

- The proposed implementation does not permit pairs of “incomparable” types
 - Such as `Pair<Number, Number>`
- Two flavours of parameterized pair class would be ideal

error:
identical
type erasure

```
final class Pair<X, Y> { ... }
```

```
final class Pair<X extends Comparable<X>,
                Y extends Comparable<Y>
implements Comparable<Pair<X, Y>> { ... }
```


Multi-Class Solution

- Defining separate classes
 - Leads to an inflation of classes

```
final class Pair<X, Y> { ... }
```

```
final class ComparablePair<X extends Comparable<X>,
    Y extends Comparable<Y>>
    implements Comparable<ComparablePair<X, Y>> {
    public int compareTo(ComparablePair<X, Y> other) {
        ... first.compareTo(other.first) ...
        ... second.compareTo(other.second) ...
    }
}
```

Single-Class Solution

- Requires cast

```
final class Pair<X, Y> implements Comparable<Pair<X, Y>> {
    public int compareTo(Pair<X, Y> other) {
        ... ((Comparable<X>)first).compareTo(other.first) ...
        ... ((Comparable<Y>)second).compareTo(other.second) ...
    }
}
```

warning: unchecked cast

- Use `@SuppressWarnings` annotation

```
final class Pair<X, Y> implements Comparable<Pair<X, Y>> {
    @SuppressWarnings("unchecked")
    public int compareTo(Pair<X, Y> other) {
        ... ((Comparable<X>)first).compareTo(other.first) ...
        ... ((Comparable<Y>)second).compareTo(other.second) ...
    }
}
```

Summary

- Java platform 5.0 has language features for definition and use of generic types and methods
 - Type parameters and arguments, wildcards, bounds...
- Using predefined generic types is easy
- Designing and implementing generic APIs is challenging
 - Requires understanding of compilation model, various restrictions, and options...

For More Information

- Generics in the Java Programming Language
 - A tutorial by Gilad Bracha, July 2004
 - <http://java.sun.com/j2se/1.5/pdf/generics-tutorial.pdf>
- Java Language Specification, 3rd Edition
 - By Gosling, Joy, Steele, Bracha, May 2005
 - <http://java.sun.com/docs/books/jls>
- Java Generics FAQ
 - A FAQ by Angelika Langer
 - <http://www.AngelikaLanger.com/GenericsFAQ/JavaGenericsFAQ.html>
- More links...
 - <http://www.AngelikaLanger.com/Resources/Links/JavaGenerics.htm>

Q&A

Angelika Langer

Submit Session Evaluations for Prizes! Your opinions are important to Sun

- You can win a \$75.00 gift certificate to the on-site Retail Store by telling Sun what you think!
- Turn in completed forms to enter the daily drawing
- Each evaluation must be turned in the same day as the session presentation
- Five winners will be chosen each day (Sun will send the winners e-mail)
- Drop-off locations: give to the room monitors or use any of the three drop-off stations in the North and South Halls

Note: Winners on Thursday, 6/30, will receive and can redeem certificates via e-mail.

Generics Written in the Java™ Programming Language with Parameterized Types in Java Platform 5.0

Angelika Langer

Trainer/Consultant

www.AngelikaLanger.com

TS-5627

java.sun.com/javaone/sf

2005 JavaOneSM Conference | Session TS-5627

